

Questionnaire Response	Do you have any comments about the Conservation Area appraisal?	Do you agree with the boundaries of the Conservation Areas?	Comments acted upon?
1 Parish Council	An interesting report; entertaining presentation at the Annual meeting	Pleased to note that the pocket park on the corner of Langford Wharf had been added to the original draft of the Langford Lane site	
2 Kidlington & District Historical Society	Excellent consultation paper	Agree with the boundary changes on Green Road (High Street CA); would like appropriate viewing cones of St Mary's Spire to be retained; development within a CA should enhance and not detract from ambience; welcome the funding or provision of a building for a museum and/or storage facility for Historical Society	Views of church checked and identified as significant; provision for development to enhance already in appraisal; museum in village to be discussed with conservation team
3 English Heritage	It would be helpful when discussing views in the text if they could be numbered or otherwise identified and cross referenced to the visual analysis figures	I am not persuaded that the proposed Langford Lane Wharf CA is coherent and has special qualities that justify designation. Whilst I can appreciate that it has historic interest and is a part of the wider development of Kidlington, I have reservations about designating such a small section of canal and its associated buildings. The historic industrial and transport character of the area has not really survived and the changes to the character of the road network in particular from the 1875 OS map are telling.	The comments of English Heritage are noted and reported to the Executive. However the designation of Langford Lane Wharf was requested by residents, is supported by local people including the Parish Council, and is considered to be the only method open to the Council to protect the character and appearance of this area from inappropriate development since English Heritage declined to add the buildings to the statutory list.
4	It is a very thorough and interesting document. I would suggest a possible change to include views of the Old Rectory from the fields to the rear (photograph attached)	I am in favour of the inclusion of additional areas to the existing areas within Kidlington.	Views of Old Rectory site re-evaluated; photograph added to appraisal and views adjusted
5	A really excellent piece of work, full of interest and useful historical and architectural information, and a valuable work of reference in itself. More could be made of the fine limestone walls at the Old Rectory site. Historical error in description of the church relating to the pre-Domesday chapel		Views of Old Rectory site re-evaluated; appraisal updated to include details about limestone wall and historical facts relating to church checked
6	I have no comments	I agree according to the meeting and shown maps of the areas on 26 March at Exeter Hall	
7	Fully support the proposal to include rest of School Road	Include walled garden of no.28 School Road; include hedgerow opposite new area in School Road; include 42 School Road to join two conservation areas; extend Church Street north to include up to river	Walled garden included in part to condition of walls; hedgerow not included due to condition; 42 School Road not included due to structure's lack of historic interest; Church Street not extended north due to lack of historic or architectural interest, although ecological interest appreciated and supported
8	A lovely document. Well done!	Views into Church Street conservation area from fields need to be mentioned more; walls at Grove House and 49/51 Church Street to be identified as important	Views from fields into area re-evaluated and included; walls identified on maps as significant
9	Inaccurate description of 14 The Moors: extension to front is replacement of Victorian addition		Property re-evaluated and comments adjusted
10	Excellent	Expand High Street to include Exeter Road open spaces & 66 High Street	Comments appreciated; unable to make boundary contiguous to include suggested area; no historical justification for inclusion due to irradiation by later development